

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/975,302

Applicant(s)

HYPPONEN, ARI

Examiner

Ronald Baum

Art Unit

2136

## All Participants:

(1) Ronald Baum.

(2) Rustan Hill.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 7 March 2005

Time: 14:25

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*n/a*

Claims discussed:

*1,6,8*

Prior art documents discussed:

*n/a*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

## BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussion with the applicant's representative Mr. Hill, as to the broad interpretation of the phrase "... requiring the entry into the device", and "... the device remains active" in claims 1,6,8 so as to more explicitly define the scope of the claims insofar as it applies to the user and device electronic aspects of the claim language. Mr. Hill agreed to the examiner amended claim language to more explicitly distinguish those aspects of the claims..